

ASTR368

HW # 8

1) Inflation is the idea that early in its history the Universe expanded very rapidly.

It solves the "flatness problem" of  $k=0$  or  $\Omega=1$ , which seems like a strange result, since deviations from flatness would be stretched out.

It also solves the "horizon problem" that the Universe is uniform despite not being in causal contact since it was in causal contact before inflation.



2) For black body,  $w = \frac{1}{3}$  and we  
find  $\frac{I}{T_0} = \frac{1}{R} = 1+z$ . At recombination,

$z = 1089$ , so  $\frac{I}{T_0} = 1090$ . and  $T \approx 3000 \text{ K}$

Since  $T_0 = 2.75 \text{ K}$ . At  $z=1$ ,  $T = 5.50 \text{ K}$

and at  $z=2$ ,  $T = 8.25 \text{ K}$ .



3) Many possible ways to show this

$$H^2 = H_0^2 [\Omega_{m,0} R^{-3} + \Omega_{r,0} R^{-4} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} R^{-3(1+w)} + 1 - \Omega_0]$$

If  $w = -1$ , then  $H^2 \rightarrow H_0^2 [\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 1 - \Omega_0]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$   
and expansion rate is constant.

If  $w < -1$ , then  $H^2 \rightarrow H_0^2 [\Omega_{\Lambda,0} R^x + 1 - \Omega_0]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$

where  $x > 0$  and expansion ever increasing

$\rightarrow$  Big Rip

If  $w > -1$ ,  $H^2 \rightarrow H_0^2 [1 - \Omega_0]$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$

$\rightarrow$  const. expansion



4) The SN experiments measured  $m$  to compute  $m - M$ , where  $M$  is the absolute magnitude of type Ia SN.

This measurement gives you a measure of the luminosity distance. If the measured  $m$  is higher than expected, the SN are fainter, the luminosity distance is greater, and expansion is accelerating. If SN brighter, distance is less, and expansion is decelerating.





$$5) H^2(1-\Omega)R^2 = -kc^2$$

$$H_0^2(1-\Omega_0) = -kc^2$$

$$\Rightarrow H^2(1-\Omega)R^2 = H_0^2(1-\Omega_0)$$

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = \left(\frac{1-\Omega_0}{1-\Omega}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{R} = \left(\frac{1-\Omega_0}{1-\Omega}\right)^{1/2} (1+z)$$

$$H = H_0(1+z) \left[ \underbrace{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z) + \Omega_{r,0}(1+z)^2 + \frac{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}}{(1+z)^2} + 1 - \Omega_0}_{Z} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = (1+z) Z^{1/2} \left(\frac{1-\Omega_0}{1-\Omega}\right)^{1/2} (1+z)$$

$$1-\Omega = \frac{(1-\Omega_0)}{Z}$$

$$\Omega = \frac{\Omega_0 - 1}{Z} + 1$$

$$= \frac{\Omega_0 - 1}{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z) + \Omega_{r,0}(1+z)^2 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}(1+z)^{-2} + 1 - \Omega_0} + 1$$

$$b) \text{ At } z \rightarrow 0, \quad \Omega \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_0 - 1}{\Omega_{m,0} + \Omega_{r,0} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 1 - \Omega_0} + 1$$

Since  $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} > \Omega_{m,0} > \Omega_{r,0}$ , DE dominates

$$At \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Omega \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_0 - 1}{\Omega_0} + 1$$